

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WILLIAM JOHN CONNORS, III,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DAVID ROGERS,

Defendant,

3:11v-00530-ECR-WGC

ORDER

Plaintiff, whose civil rights complaint apparently seeking release of the “vessel William-John Connors, III ©” from the possession or custody of Clark County and its district attorney, was dismissed by the Court with prejudice on September 16, 2011. Plaintiff has now filed a document entitled “Motion for District Judge to Reconsider Order (ECF No. 15).

A court may relieve a party from judgment for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; (3) fraud or other misconduct; (4) a void judgment; (5) a satisfied or discharged judgment; or (6) any other reason justifying relief from operation of the judgment. *See* Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b); *Backlund v. Barnhardt*, 778 F.2d 1386, 1388 (9th Cir. 1985).

The complaint fails to state any type of cognizable claim subject to this Court’s review in that plaintiff attempted to identify himself as a maritime vessel subject to an action on a security agreement and UCC filing. The motion for reconsideration contains statements related to nothing discernible as an argument satisfying any of the above criteria. Rather, plaintiff seems to suggest that

1 the complaint was filed in error and should be stayed to allow the Nevada Supreme Court an opportunity
2 to rule on the issues. Whether the claims are exhausted in state court or not is of no moment to a
3 determination that the pleading is nonsensical. Based on the contents of the motion and the complaint,
4 the Court confirms its previous dismissal and (ECF No. 15) is **DENIED**.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 DATED this 28th day of October 2011.

7
8 
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE